PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR
		(exposure draft)		REFERENCE
Shop Distributive	<u>Joint submission -</u>	2 – Definitions –	Supports the Full Bench's provisional view to delete the definitions	Para 2-5
and Allied	<u>plain language -</u>	on-hire	of 'on-hire employer' and 'on-hire employee' and to insert the	
Employees'	Pharmacy Award		definition of 'on-hire' from clause 3.1 of the current award.	
Association and	06/02/2017		Submits that an employer provided with labour from a third party,	
others			such as a labour hire company, is an employer covered by the	
			Pharmacy Award. Submits that an employer will be covered by virtue	
			of the fact that they are supplied with labour.	
Business SA	Submission –		Supports the Full Bench's provisional view to delete the definitions	Para 1-2
Business S11	plain language -		of 'on-hire employer' and 'on-hire employee' and to insert the	1 414 1 2
	Pharmacy Award		definition of 'on-hire' from clause 3.1 of the current award.	
	06/02/2017			
			Submits that if a client does not employ anyone then they are not an	
			employer for the purposes of clause 4.1 of the current Pharmacy	
			Award. Submits that no employment relationship exists between the	
			client and the on-hire employee for the purpose of clause 4.1.	
			Submits that clause 4.5 of the current Pharmacy Award does not	
			require the client to be covered by the award. Submits that clause 4.5	
			provides award coverage to the on-hire employer and on-hire	
			employee.	
Pharmacy Guild	<u>Submission –</u>		Supports the Full Bench's provisional view to delete the definitions	Para 5
of Australia	<u>plain language -</u>		of 'on-hire employer' and 'on-hire employee' and to insert the	
	Pharmacy Award		definition of 'on-hire' from clause 3.1 of the current Pharmacy	
	07/02/2017		Award.	
			Submits that the intention of clause 4.5 is that person who is supplied	Para 19-22
			with labour is also covered by the Pharmacy Award.	
Shop Distributive	Joint submission		Disagrees with Business SA and submits that clauses 4.1 and 4.5 of	Para 2-9
and Allied	in reply - plain		the current Pharmacy Award should be read together.	
Employees'	<u>language -</u>		Submits that 4.1 is the critical clause defining coverage and would	

PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE
Association and others	Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017		cover the on-hire employers, employees supplied and the community pharmacies to which they are supplied.	
Business SA	Submission in reply – plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017		Disagrees with the Unions' submission and submits that it is possible that an employee could be on-hired to a host outside the community pharmacy industry and in those cases the host would not become covered by the Pharmacy Award.	Para 1.1-1.3
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	Submission in reply – plain language - Pharmacy Award 13/02/2017		Disagrees with the Unions' submission that an employer who is supplied labour becomes covered by the Pharmacy Award by virtue of the fact that they have been supplied with labour. Submits on-hire employees are only covered whilst engaged in the performance of work for a business in that industry.  Submits that clause 4.3 of the exposure draft should be replaced by clause 4.5 of the current Pharmacy Award because they submit that the revised wording has made the current application of these provisions unclear.	Para 6-10
Shop Distributive and Allied Employees' Association and others	Joint submission - plain language - Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017	4 – Coverage	Supports the Full Bench's decision to delete the words "by retail" in clause 4.1 as well as the words after the word "government"	Para 6-7
Business SA	Submission in reply – plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017		Supports the Full Bench's decision to delete the words "by retail" in clause 4.1	Para 2

PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	Submission – plain language - Pharmacy Award 07/02/2017		Submits that the Guild does not make a submission in relation to the proposed change in clause 4.1.	Para 6
Shop Distributive and Allied Employees' Association and others	Joint submission - plain language - Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017	10 – Part-time employment	Submits that no tension exists between clause 10.5 and 10.8 and that for clarity a reference to clause 10.5 could be included at clauses 10.8(b) and 10.10.  Submits that clause 10.4 should retain the words "on a regular pattern of work"  Submits that the new wording of clause 10.10 may alter the legal effect and the current terminology should be used.	Para 8-16
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	Submission – plain language - Pharmacy Award 07/02/2017		Submits that no tension exists between clause 10.5 and 10.9. Submits that no variation to the provisions is necessary.  Submits that as all other variations to the hours of work of a part-time employee must be made in writing, the agreement in clause 10.10 should be in writing too.	Para 23-28
Business SA	Submission in reply – plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017		Agrees with the Unions' and the Guild's submissions that no tension exists between clause 10.5 and 10.9 and that a variation under clause 10.10 should be in writing	Para 3.1-3.2
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	Submission in reply – plain language - Pharmacy Award 13/02/2017		Submits that the change proposed by the Unions to include a reference to clause 10.5 at 10.8(b) and 10.10 is unnecessary. Does not agree with the Unions' submission that the words "on regular pattern of work" are necessary to establish that an employee is part-time.	Para 11-12
Shop Distributive and Allied	Joint submission - plain language -	11 – Casual employment	Supports the Full Bench's provisional view that a casual conversion clause be considered by the part-time and casual Full Bench.	Para 17-18

DOCUMENT	CLAUSE	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR
D1 1	(exposure draft)		REFERENCE
06/02/201711			
			Para 7-12
Pharmacy Award			
07/02/2017			
		Commission.	
		Requests the opportunity to advance submissions in relation to such a	
		variation.	
Joint submission		Submits that the Guild has previously interpreted the Pharmacy	Para 10-13
in reply - plain		Award to include a casual conversion clause and their submission	
language -		that a merit based argument supported by evidence is required is	
Pharmacy Award		disingenuous and contradictory to their previous submissions.	
10/02/2017			
Submission in		Agrees with the Guild's submissions	Para 4
reply – plain			
language -			
Pharmacy Award			
10/02/2017			
Submission in		Does not oppose a casual conversion clause in general.	Para 13-14
13/02/2017			
	13 – Hours of	Supports the Full Bench's provisional view to amend wording of	Para 19-22
	Pharmacy Award 06/02/201711  Submission — plain language - Pharmacy Award 07/02/2017  Joint submission in reply - plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award	Pharmacy Award 06/02/201711  Submission — plain language - Pharmacy Award 07/02/2017  Joint submission in reply - plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017  Submission in reply — plain language - Pharmacy Award 13/02/2017  Joint submission — 13 — Hours of	Pharmacy Award   O6/02/201711   Submission - plain language - Pharmacy Award   O7/02/2017   Submission in reply - plain language - Pharmacy Award   O7/02/2017   Submission in reply - plain language - Pharmacy Award   O7/02/2017   Submission in reply - plain language - Pharmacy Award   O7/02/2017   Opposes the casual conversion clause acasual conversion clause should be supported by merits based argument and evidence that one is needed to provide a fair and relevant safety net. Submits that there is presently no such material before the Commission. Requests the opportunity to advance submissions in relation to such a variation.    Submits that the Guild has previously interpreted the Pharmacy Award to include a casual conversion clause and their submission that a merit based argument supported by evidence is required is disingenuous and contradictory to their previous submissions.    Agrees with the Guild's submissions   Oppose a casual conversion clause in general.   Opposes the casual conversion clause proposed by the Unions.   Opposes the casual conversion clause proposed by the Unions.   Opposes the Full Bench's provisional view to amend wording of   Opposes the Full Bench's provisional view to amend wording of   Opposes the casual conversion view to amend wording of   Opposes the casual view to amend wording of   Opposes the casual view to amend wording of   Opposes the casual view to amend wording of   Opposes the view to amend wo

PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE
Employees' Association and others	Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017	(exposure draft)	Supports the Full Bench's provisional view not to amend wording of clause 13.5.	REFERENCE
Business SA	Submission – plain language - Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017		Supports the Full Bench's proposed amendment of clause 13.4.	Para 3
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	Submission – plain language - Pharmacy Award 07/02/2017		Supports the Full Bench's proposed amendment of clause 13.4.	Para 13
Shop Distributive and Allied Employees' Association and others	Joint submission - plain language - Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017	14 – Rostering arrangements	Agree with the Full Bench's provisional view to amend wording of clause 14.1(e).	Para 23-24
Business SA	Submission – plain language - Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017		Supports the Full Bench's proposed amendment of clause 14.1(e).	Para 4
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	Submission – plain language - Pharmacy Award 07/02/2017		Does not support the Full Bench's proposed amendment of clause 14.1(e). Submits that it would be more appropriate to define what "regularly works Sundays" means.	Para 14-18
Shop Distributive and Allied Employees' Association and	Joint submission in reply - plain language - Pharmacy Award		Submits that the wording was agreed because of a shared understanding by the parties about what the provision meant. Does not agree with the Guild's submission at para 17 of their submission made on 7/02/17.	Para 18-30

PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR
		(exposure draft)		REFERENCE
others	10/02/2017		Submits that shift work is not a feature of the community pharmacy	
			industry.	
			Submits that a change to the clause as suggested by the Guild would	
			represent a significant change to the legal effect of the Award.	
			Submits that the provisional view of the Full Bench should be	
Danai a a a C A	Coole and a street and		adopted.	D 5 1
Business SA	Submission in		Recognises the restriction raised by the Guild.	Para 5.1
	<u>reply – plain</u> language -			
	Pharmacy Award			
	10/02/2017			
Shop Distributive	Joint submission -	16 – Junior wages	Agree with the Full Bench's use of the table and with the	Para 25
and Allied	plain language -		introductory wording proposed for the table.	
Employees'	Pharmacy Award			
Association and	06/02/2017			
others				
Business SA	Submission in		Agree with the Unions that the table is the appropriate format.	Para 6
	reply – plain			
	<u>language -</u>			
	Pharmacy Award			
	10/02/2017			
Shop Distributive	Joint submission -	18 – Allowances	Supports the Full Bench's proposed amendment to clause 18.6.	Para 26-31
and Allied	plain language -			
Employees'	Pharmacy Award			
Association and	06/02/2017			
others				

PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE
Pharmacy Guild of Australia	<u>Submission</u> – plain language -		Supports the Full Bench's proposed amendment of clause 18.6.	Para 34
of Mustrana	Pharmacy Award			
Shop Distributive	07/02/2017  Joint submission -	21 – Penalty rates	Submits that an error appears in clause 21.1 where the word "days"	Para 32
and Allied	plain language -		has been deleted.	
Employees' Association and	Pharmacy Award 06/02/2017			
others				
Business SA	Submission in reply – plain		Agrees with the Unions that the word "days" should appear at the end of the sentence at clause 21.1.	Para 7
	language -		of the sentence at clause 21.1.	
	Pharmacy Award 10/02/2017			
Pharmacy Guild	<u>Submission</u> –	Schedule A	Proposes amendment to the definition of "Dispensary assistant"	Para 29–33
of Australia	<u>plain language -</u> Pharmacy Award			
	07/02/2017			