**From:** Mary Walsh [mailto:marywalsh6@bigpond.com]

Sent: Friday, 22 December 2017 9:05 AM

**To:** 'Sina Zevari'; Chambers - Hatcher VP; <a href="mailto:dacarter@qmail.com">dacarter@qmail.com</a>

Cc: AMOD; 'Malcolm Harding'; 'KEMP, James'; Stephen.bull@unitedvoice.org.au; Leigh Svendsen;

fogarty@denmanchambers.com.au; kairsty.wilson@aed.org.au; cainpaul@icloud.com; craig.rawson@ags.gov.au; abigail.cooper@ashurst.com; chrisc@greenacres.net.au; MarkW@greenacres.net.au; pfrench@disabilitylaw.org.au; samanthaf@pwd.org.au;

Anthony.rohr@maiwel.com.au; Smith c1@optusnet.com.au; Steve.burgess@flagstaffgroup.com.au;

Roy.rogers@flagstaffgroup.com.au; Imooney@dsa.org.au; mlcinitaly@gmail.com;

<u>mjbuck2@telstra.com</u>; <u>estelleshields@hotmail.com</u>; <u>hdickens@dsa.org.au</u>;

<u>bree.willsmore@dss.gov.au</u>; <u>johnharvey@greenacres.net.au</u>; <u>mpatrick@disabilitylaw.org.au</u>;

Skillsmaster275@outlook.com; cnewbold@actu.org.au; Rowena.Freeland@dss.gov.au;

<u>cwatts@actu.org.au</u>; <u>robk@accessindustries.com.au</u>; Chris.D'SOUZA@dss.gov.au; 'Emily Slaytor';

<u>noni.lord@aed.org.au</u>; <u>claire.brattey@corrs.com.au</u>; <u>Nick.LeMare@corrs.com.au</u>;

mark@yumaro.com.au; ceo@daredisability.org.au; sgilbert@hwle.com.au;

kerrie.langford@nds.org.au; 'Paul Musso'; 'Joe Murphy'; 'Nigel Ward'

**Subject:** RE: AM2014/286 - Proposed program for hearing by ABI, NSWBC and AED Legal Centre [ABLAW-ImanageDocs.FID135807]

## **Dear Associate**

We have received this proposed time-table and agree with it, in principle.

1. The duality of focus of Australia's Disability Enterprises- i.e. the employment and social focus – is a matter of historical fact. We understand that the social policy aspect of this duality of focus, which all parties to the current review have never denied, is not covered by industrial law.

Whilst we do not assert that site inspections – at the determination of the Commission – are necessary for the current Review of the SESA, we know from our previous involvement with C2004/4617, which set that precedent, site inspection/s allow the Commission to make its own judgment. This is then based not on written argument – and counter argument – but on facts, and a personal overview of our disabled family members working, by choice, in these supported employment options, under industrially approved conditions - supported by their family carers and the social fabric of the enterprise.

Should the Commission agree to site inspection/s, we ask that an agreed protocol be determined to ensure the least interruption possible for these employees – their supervisors, support workers and the service involved

2. Could we please be added – at E – after the service providers. We have previously advised we wish to cross examine stated witnesses.

Thank you Sincerely Mary Walsh Our Voice Australia Regional Co-ordinator