REVISED SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS – TECHNICAL AND DRAFTING

This table is a summary of submissions on technical and drafting issues lodged for this award on or before 5.00pm on 9 November 2016. The summary has been revised to include feedback from the hearing on 6 December 2016 (<u>Transcript</u>), the conference on 16 December 2016 (<u>Transcript</u>) and the <u>Report</u> of 17 March 2017.

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 1. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | Allowances and Wages tables Proposes changes to formatting in tables. Each figure being identified as a percentage of a dollar figure. Example: Sleepover allowance rate is 5.20 per cent and quantum is 43.33. HSU proposes these read as 5.20% | Para 13-15 | RESOLVED ED to be drafted as per consistent style. Agreed, subject to |
| | AWU AIG | Reply-21Jul16 Reply-22Jul16 | | and \$43.33 Agrees with HSU proposal Do not oppose HSU proposal however seeks consistency with other EDs | Para 5.3 Para 72 | consistency, per Report 17/03/17 [Item 1] |
| 2. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 2 | Definitions – 'Aged care industry' General rule should be if definition is referred to multiple times it should be located at clause 2, if it only appears once it should be located with the relevant clause only. 'Aged care industry' relates only to coverage, should be deleted from clause 2 and retained in clause 4. | Para 5-8 | RESOLVED See: [2017] FWCFB 3433 at [339] Agreed, remove definitions from clause 4 |
| 3. | BusSA AWU AIG HSU | Reply-21Jul16 Reply-21Jul16 Reply-22Jul16 Sub-30Jun16 | 2, 18.2(a) | Agrees with HSU proposal Agrees with HSU proposal Does not oppose HSU proposal Definitions – 'all purposes allowance', | Para 1.3 Para 5.1 Para 5 Para 9 | and insert in clause 2 per Report 17/03/17 [Item 2] RESOLVED |
| | | | | despite HSU submission above, should | | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | remain in both clause 2 and 18.2(a) | | Agreed, delete clause 18.2 |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Agree with HSU proposal, consistent with approach in other exposure drafts. | Para 6 | (a) from Exposure Draft (ED) and leave the |
| | UV | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> |] | Delete term from clause 2, but keep in 18.2(a) | Para 17 | definition in clause 2. |
| | ACE | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | Keep 'all purpose' allowance within 'Leading | Para 4 | Consequent renumbering |
| | | | | Hand Allowance' section and deleting from | | of clause 28 per Report |
| | | | | clause 2 | | 17/03/17 [Item 3] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal. Prefers definition | Paras 5.1, 12, 15 | |
| | | | | kept in clause 2 due to importance of term. | | |
| | | | | Proposes a way to address repetition by re- | | |
| | | | | drafting clause '18.2(a) All purpose | | |
| | | | | allowances' and combining it with '18.2(b) | | |
| | | | | leading hand allowance'. Wording provided. | | |
| | DC A | D1 21 I11 C | <u> </u> | Disagrees with ACE proposal. | 1.2 | |
| 4 | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | 2 | Agrees with UV proposal | 1.2 | DEGOLVED |
| 4. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 2 | Definition – 'casual ordinary hourly rate' - term not used in ED and definition should be | Para 75 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | deleted | | Agreed definition of |
| | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | - | Delete definition | Para 16 | Agreed, definition of casual ordinary hourly |
| | UV | Sub-30Jun16 Sub-30Jun16 | - | | | rate' will be deleted, |
| | UV | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | Delete definition as does not appear elsewhere in ED and 'all purpose' defines | Para 16 | Report 17/03/17 [Item 4] |
| | | | | ordinary hourly rate. | | <u>Report</u> 17/03/17 [Item 4] |
| | ACE | Sub-30Jun16 | - | Delete clause as does not appear elsewhere in | Para 5 | Summary amended to |
| | ACE | <u>540-50141110</u> | | ED | | reflect AIG comments. |
| | AWU | Sub 6Jul16 | 1 | Clause not referred to elsewhere in ED more | Para 4 | |
| | AWU | Su o Osurro | | appropriate for casual loading at clause 11.2 | 1 414 7 | ACE's reply 21Jul and |
| | | | | to refer to 'all purposes' | | part of AWU's submission |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU, UV proposal, as reflected | Para 5.2, 10.2 | 6Jul moved to item 13– |
| | | | | in their own submission 6 July 2016 at [4]. | | see <u>Transcript – 6/12/16</u> |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | Does not agree with AWU proposal, does not | Para 5 | [PN575-578] |
| | | | | agree casual loading is payable for all | | |
| | | | | purposes. | | _ |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU, AIG, UV, ACE and AWU | Para 1.1 | |
| | 170 | | | proposals | 7 | _ |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU, UV, ACE and AWU | Para 8 | |
| _ | A 3371 1 | 0.1.61.116 | 2 | proposals | D | DECOLVED |
| 5. | AWU | Sub-6Jul16 | 2 | Definition – 'minimum hourly rate' not listed in definitions and wants definition | Para 5 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | to include reference to classification and level | | A amond insome definition of |
| | | | | of employees. | | Agreed, insert definition of 'minimum hourly rate' |
| | UV | Sub-30Jun16 | | Reference missing, provides text: 'minimum | Para 5 | which will read 'minimum |
| | OV | <u>5u0-503u1110</u> | | hourly rate means the minimum rate of pay | raia 3 | hourly rate means the |
| | | | | for the employee's classification, grade and | | hourly rate for the |
| | | | | level'. | | employee's classification |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not support proposals by UV and AWU | Para 9 | specified in clause 17' |
| | AIG | Reply-05Jan17 | | Replace the word "employees" with | Turu | Report 17/03/17 [Item 5] |
| | | repry occurry | | "employee's" | | |
| | ACE | Reply-10Jan17 | | Supports corrections proposed by AIG in | | Definition of 'minimum |
| | | | | submission of 05Jan17 | | hourly rate' in published |
| | HSU | Reply-12Jan17 | | Agrees with AIG submission of 05Jan17 | | ED (at 14/11/17) at B.1.1 |
| | | | | | | does not contain the error |
| | | | | | | identified by AIG |
| 6. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 2 | Definition – 'ordinary hourly rate' | Para 12 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | definition of 'ordinary hourly rate' should | | |
| | | | | contain a reference to the employee's | | See item 60 |
| | | | | classification level | | Agreed, no amendment to |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | AWU | <u>Sub–6Jul16</u> | | 'Ordinary hourly rate' missing from | Para 5 | 'ordinary hourly rate' |
| | | | | definitions and should be defined, refers to AM2014/01 and wants the definition to refer | | definition in ED <u>Report</u> 17/03/17 [Item 6] |
| | | | | to classification and level of employees | | 17/03/17 [Item 6] |
| | UV | Sub-30Jun16 | | Definition of 'ordinary hourly rate' to include | Para 1 | - |
| | 0 1 | <u>500–50301110</u> | | classification, grade and level | Tara 1 | |
| | ACE | Sub-30Jun16 | | Amendment to 'ordinary hourly rate' | Para 6 | |
| | ACL | <u>540 50341110</u> | | definition as ambiguous. Suggested text: | 1 414 0 | |
| | | | | 'Ordinary hourly rate means the hourly rate | | |
| | | | | for the employee's classification specified in | | |
| | | | | clause 17, plus the Leading Hand Allowance | | |
| | | | | specified in clause 18.2(a), if applicable'. | | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal. Does not believe | Para 6, 11, 16 | |
| | | | | reference to 'grade', part of UV submission, | | |
| | | | | is necessary. Agrees with ACE proposal. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not understand rational for AWU, UV | Paras 12-13, 16 | |
| | | | | and HSU submission, reference to 'grade' and | | |
| | | | | 'level' unnecessary as definition refers to | | |
| | | | | 'employee's classification specified in clause | | |
| | | | | 17'. Do not consider any additional cross | | |
| | | | | references to definition of 'ordinary hourly | | |
| | | | | rate' are necessary. Does not oppose ACE submission, but notes current definition is | | |
| | | | | consistent with early Commission decision | | |
| | | | | and variation unnecessary. | | |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | Supports UV submission | Para 8 | 1 |
| | UV | Reply–25Jul16 | | UV agrees with ACE, definition ambiguous | Para 11 | |
| | , | 10pry 255urro | | and may lead to confusion. | 1 414 11 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not oppose ACE variation, notes current definition consistent with earlier Commission decision and variation unnecessary. | Para 17 | |
| 7. | HSU | Sub 30Jun16 | 3.3 | NES and this award Keep wording that has been deleted, 'whichever makes them more accessible'. | Para 17 | WITHDRAWN HSU position withdrawn, no amendment to ED |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 5.4 | required see Report 17/03/17 [Item 7] |
| | AIG | Reply 22Jul16 | | Does not support HSU position. Matter already determined by the Commission. | Para 18 | |
| 8. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 7 | Facilitative provisions Clause incomplete and should include clauses 14.3, 14.6, 16.1, 16.2 and 22.3 | Para 52 | RESOLVED Agreement with AIG |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 5.16 | position that clause 16.2 |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Do not agree with HSU proposal regarding clauses 14.3, 14.6, 16.1. Agree with clauses 16.2, 22.3 | Para 20-24 | and clause 22.3 will be included in the facilitative provision Report 17/03/17 [Item 8] |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 9. | AWU | Sub-6Jul16 | 10, 11, 13, | Part time employment | Para 9-14 | RESOLVED |
| | | | 22.2(a), | For part time employees the overtime | | |
| | | | 22.2(b) | provisions at clause 22.2(a) and 22.2(b) utilise | | General agreement that |
| | | | | some of the features of ordinary hours | | there is no need to amend |
| | | | | prescribed at clause 13 but also refer to | | the ED <u>Report</u> 17/03/17 |
| | | | | system of ordinary hours by agreement at | | [Item 9] |
| | | | | clause 10.2. Suggests system of ordinary | | |
| | | | | hours be established in substantive clauses for | | |
| | DCA | D1 21 I11 C | - | part-time employees. | D 1 0 | - |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Seeks to review AWU proposal for clause 13 | Para 1.9 | - |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Does not agrees with AWU proposal | Para 7 | - |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not agree with AWU general | Para 43 | |
| 10 | AIC | C-1 20I16 | 11 1 | proposition | D 76 | DECOLVED |
| 10. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 11.1 | Casual Employment | Para 76 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | Words 'as such' to be reinserted as they | | A great the words "as |
| | | | | clarify if an employee is engaged as a casual | | Agreed, the words "as such" are to be inserted |
| | | | | employee. Believes absence significantly alters operation of clause | | after the words "engaged" |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 1.5 | in clause 11.1 Report |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.2 | 17/03/17 [Item 10] |
| | UV | | - | Agrees with AIG proposal Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.2 Para 12 | 17/03/17 [item 10] |
| | UV | Reply-25Jul16 | | Agrees with AlG proposal | Para 12 | |
| 11. | HSU | Sub-30 Jun16 | 11.1 | Casual Employment | Para 46-47 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | Response to question raised by Commission | | |
| | | | | 'Fixed term employee' be deleted as no | | Agreed, not necessary to |
| | | | | reference is made in Award to this. | | define 'fixed term |
| | UV | Sub-30Jun16 |] | Proposes to add definition of 'fixed term / | Para 18 | employee'. No amendment |
| | | | | specific task employees' to the award. | | to ED required Report |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | Wording provided. | | 17/03/17 [Item 11] |
| | ACE | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | 'Fixed term employee' is self-explanatory and no need to define term. | Para 12 | |
| | AWU | Sub-6Jul16 | | 'fixed term employee' be deleted, no category in award, to include it would be substantive change. | Para 8 | |
| | ABI & NSWBC | Sub-1Jul16 | | Not necessary to define 'fixed term employee' | Para 4.1 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU. Agree with ACE and ABI not necessary to include definition. Considered UV proposal not opposed, but notes term not used elsewhere in award. Questions if the term is introduce whether all categories of employment should be included in the definition as opposed to only casual employees. | Para 5.15, 13, 19 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Agree with ABI and ACE that definition not necessary. Disagrees with HSU and AWU submissions, as to delete 'fixed term employees' would be substantive change. Opposes UV's proposal, unclear consequences of inserting a new 'type' of employment. | Para 30-36 | |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with ABI and AIG. Disagrees with UV's proposal, amounts to substantial change which must be established on merits. Does deal with implication on annual leave, sick leave etc. | Para 1.7, 1.34 | |
| | HSU | Reply-27Jul16 | | Prefers removal of term, not opposed to UV's | Para 13 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | proposed wording as an alternative to removal. | | |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | Definition for fixed term employment is not necessary under the Award. | Para 6 | |
| 12. | AWU | Sub-6Jul16 | 11.1 | Casual Employment 'employed on an hourly basis' should be deleted as inconsistent with requirement employees be engaged for a minimum period of 2 hours per clause 14.5. | Para 6-8 | RESOLVED Agreed, no amendment to ED required Report 17/03/17 [Item 12] |
| | ABI | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not agree with AWU's submission. Disagrees there is any inconsistency, clauses can be read together. | Para 3.1 | |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | AWU's submission is misguided. Nature of casual engagement is hourly basis. Minimum hourly starts are separate issue. | Para 6 | |
| 13. | AWU | Sub-6Jul16 | 11.2 | Casual employment 'Casual ordinary hourly rate' definition in cl 2 provides casual loading is payable for all purposes. Therefore, sufficient and appropriate to refer to casual loading as payable for all purposes | Para 4 | WITHDRAWN AWU submission withdrawn, no amendment to ED required Report 17/03/17 [Item 13] |
| | AIG | Reply 22Jul16 | | Strongly opposes AWU's proposal. Current award clause 10.4(b) does not require payment of casual loading 'for all purposes'. Substantial change, variation should not be granted. | Para 37 | |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | Does not agree with AWU proposal, does not agree casual loading is payable for all | Para 5 | Summary amended to reflect AIG comments – |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | purposes. | | see <u>Transcript – 6/12/16</u> [PN575] |
| 14. | ABI & NSWBC | Sub-1Jul16 | 11.3 | Casual employment Include 'and part time' between 'full-time' and 'employees' | Para 4.2 | RESOLVED Agreed, clause 11.3 to be |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with ABI proposal | Para 1.8 | amended by deleting all |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with ABI proposal | Para 20 | words after the word 'entitlements' Report 17/03/17 [Item 14] |
| 15. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 12 | Classifications Amendment to clause to read: 12.2 Employers must provide written advice to employees of: (a) the employee's classification on commencement, and (b) Any changes to the employee's classification during their employment. | Para 54 | RESOLVED Agreed to HSU proposal, however, including AIG wording in clause 12.2 (b) Report 17/03/17 [Item 15] |
| | AWU | Sub-21 July16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 5.17 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not agree with HSU proposal, oppose use of words 'during their employment' as confusing in context of casual employees. Would not oppose HSU proposal is 12.2(b) were replaced with 'any subsequent changes to their classification'. | Para 40 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE | NOTES |
|----------------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| 16. | AWU | Sub-6Jul16 | 13, 22.2(a), 22.2(b) | Ordinary hours of work—and Overtime Critical terms within clause are not accounted for in overtime clause 22.2(a) and 22.2(b) including additional averaging cycle, differentiation between ordinary hours for day workers and shiftworkers and span of hours. System of ordinary hours should be defined in the substantive clauses for part-time and casual employees, instead of dual | Paras 10-14 | WITHDRAWN AWU submission withdrawn, no amendment to ED required Report 17/03/17 [Item 16] |
| | BusSA | Reply 21Jul16 | | Seeks to 'review' issue | Para 1.9 | |
| | AIG | Reply 22Jul16 | | Concerns with AWU's proposal, lack of detail. Issues do not appear to have arisen from the redrafting process. No deficiency in respect to s.147 of the Act. Ordinary hours for Part time employee determined by 22.2(c) and 10.3, rostered hours constitute their ordinary hours. Casual employees' ordinary hours are determined by clauses 13 and 21. | Paras 41-43 | |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | AWU proposal is unclear. | Para 7 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 17. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 13.2, 21 | Span of hours 'Shiftworker' definition moved back to clause 13.2 from clause 21. HSU outlines the clause is located in span of hours in other health and community based awards | Para 23-26 | WITHDRAWN HSU does not press claim, no amendment to ED required Report 17/03/17 [Item 17] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 5.9 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not agree with HSU proposal: it is convenient and logical to locate provision in which it operates. | Para 65 | |
| 18. | UV | Sub-30Jun16 | 14.4(b) | Rosters Delete reference to 'relieving staff' as there is no classification or allowance. Alternatively, if term is not deleted, should be defined: 'Relieving staff means an employee who roster has been varied at less than 7 days' notice to enable the service of the organisation to be carried on where another employee is absent from duty on account of illness or in an emergency.' | Para 19-20 | WITHDRAWN UV does not press claim, no amendment to ED required Report 17/03/17 [Item 18] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with UV proposal to delete 'or relieving staff'. | Para 10.3 | |
| | AIG | Reply 22Jul16 | | To delete 'relieving staff' would be substantive change, additionally UV's | Paras 44-48 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | proposed definition of 'relieving staff' could | | |
| | | | | limit circumstances which 14.4(b) applies, for | | |
| | | | | example an employee relieving another | | |
| | | | | employee who is taking annual leave that is | | |
| | | | | not due to illness or emergency. UV's | | |
| | | | | proposal should be dismissed. | | |
| 19. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 14.4(c) | Rosters | Para 27-30 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | Believes clause 14.4(c) and clause 30 related | | |
| | | | | to different processes related to rostering | | Agreed, delete the words |
| | | | | systems in industry, therefore cross reference | | 'subject to clause 30' from |
| | | | | to clause 30 is meaningless and misleading | | clause 14.4(c) <u>Report</u> |
| | A XX 7 T T | D 1 017 116 | - | and should be removed | D 7 | 17/03/17 [Item 19] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 7 | - |
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Does not support HSU proposal | Para 9 | - |
| 20 | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | 15.2() | Supports HSU proposal | Para 49 | DECOLVED |
| 20. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 15.3(a) | Sleepovers | Para 79 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | Clause text be substituted with 'not less than | | A amond as man AIC |
| | | | | eight hours and not more than 10 hours' as appearing in current clause 22.9(a). This | | Agreed, as per AIG |
| | | | | clause deviates from this definition as it reads, | | proposal <u>Report</u> 17/03/17 [Item 20] |
| | | | | <i>'between eight and ten hours'</i> and would not | | [item 20] |
| | | | | permit a span of 8 hours or 10 hours. | | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.3 | 1 |
| 21. | AIG | Sub–31Aug16 | 15.4(c)(i), | Sleepover – claims draft has created | Para 11 | OUTSTANDING |
| | 1110 | Sus Siriugio | 15.4(d)(i) | inconsistent terminology with penalties being | 1 11 | |
| | | | | added to ordinary hourly rate, meaning that | | HSU does not agree with |
| | | | | penalties included in the loaded rate of pay | | AIG's interpretation on |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | and are not separately identified. | | this matter. Agreed to reconsider after Group 3 Full Bench determinations to consider if they provide guidance on this issue. There is to be no change to the wording in the draft. Report 17/03/17 [Item 21] |
| 22. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 15.5 | Sleepover must be rostered References clause 22.9(h) and notes clause outlines 'provides that a sleepover may be rostered continuous with a shift, either before and/or after the shift' party notes 'and' is missing from 15.5(a) and 15.5(b), changes to clause causes significant effect to operation of clause and believes 'and' should be reinserted | Para 31-33 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per draft HSU proposal Report 17/03/17 [Item 22] |
| | AIG ACE BusSA AWU AIG UV | Sub-30Jun16 Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 Reply-22Jul16 Reply-25Jul16 | | In clause 15.5(a) 'or' be replaced with 'and/or' consistent with current award 'and' has been omitted, intention of clause is to have 'and' within clause. Agrees with HSU, ACE and AIG Agrees with HSU and ACE proposal Agrees with HSU proposal Agrees with AIG and others that 'and/or' be reinserted. | Paras 83-85 Para 7 Para 1.11 Para 5.10, 14.2 Para 50 Para 18-19 | |
| 23. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 15.5 | Sleepover must be rostered 'must' be replaced with 'may', if a sleepover is rostered it prescribes two circumstances in | Para 81, 83-85 | RESOLVED Agreed, 'a sleepover must |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | which this is permitted. Read literally it | | be rostered' to be replaced |
| | | | | imposes an obligation to roster a sleepover in | | with 'where a sleepover is |
| | | | | either of the two ways prescribed. | | rostered', see <u>Transcript –</u> |
| | UV | Reply-25Jul16 | | Opposes AIG proposal. 'Must' has the same | Para 13 | <u>16/12/16</u> |
| | | | | effect as 'and not otherwise' which is in | | <u>Draft Report – 19/01/17</u> |
| | | | | current award. The clause in exposure draft | | |
| | | | | expresses the entitlement more clearly and | | Agreed, the wording in |
| | | | | should be retained. | | clause 15.5 in the ED is |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 1.10, 1.11 | amended to read as follows: '15.5 Where a |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.4 | sleepover is rostered, it must commence: (a) |
| | AIG | Reply-05Jan17 | 1 | Replace "a sleepover must be rostered" with | | immediately at the |
| | | <u> </u> | | "where a sleepover is rostered, it must be | | conclusion of the |
| | | | | rostered:" | | employee's shift and |
| | ACE | Reply-10Jan17 | | Supports corrections proposed by AIG in | | continuous with that shift; |
| | | | | submission <u>5Jan17</u> | | and/or (b) immediately |
| | HSU | Reply-12Jan17 |] | 15.5 should read 'Where a sleepover is | | prior to the employee's |
| | | | | rostered it [the sleepover] must be rostered: | | shift and continuous with |
| | | | | (a) to commence' | | that shift.' Report 17/03/17 |
| | | | | Either "it" or "the sleepover" is acceptable | | [Item 23] |
| 24. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 15.7 | Breaks between shifts | Para 34 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | Current clause 22.9(j) outlines when an | | |
| | | | | employee has worked overtime during | | Agreed, as per AIG |
| | | | | sleepover period and hasn't had an 8 hour | | proposal to correct |
| | | | | break between overtime and start of another | | typographical errors. |
| | | | | shift, then the employee will receive release | | Agreed, amend 'received' |
| | | | | from the next shift or overtime. Notes | | to 'receive', amend 'of |
| | | | | language has changed from 'after completion | | duty' to 'off duty' and add |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | of such work' to 'after the sleepover' Changes to wording cause significant effect to operation of clause. | | the words 'completion of such work' in substitution for the words 'sleepover' in clause 15.7(a)(i). Report |
| | UV | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | Current award wording provides an entitlement to be 'released after completion of such work until they have had eight consecutive hours off duty' ED has been varied to read 'after the sleepover' believes changed wording alters operation of clause | Para 21 | 17/03/17 [Item 24] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU and UV proposal | Para 5.11, 10.4 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Supports HSU and UV proposals | Para 51 | |
| 25. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 15.7(a) | Breaks between shifts Replace 'received' with 'receive' and 'of' with 'off' | Para 86-87 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per AIG |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 1.12 | proposal to correct |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.5 | typographical errors. Agreed, amend 'received' to 'receive', amend 'of duty' to 'off duty' and add the words 'completion of such work' in substitution for the words 'sleepover' in clause 15.7(a)(i). Report 17/03/17 [Item 25] |
| 26. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 15.7(a)(ii), 15.7(b)(ii) | Breaks between shifts Retain the words 'without loss of pay', as this means the employee must be paid for such hours at the rate they would otherwise have | Para 88-90 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per AIG proposal Report 17/03/17 |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | been paid. Believes words are necessary for clarity of operation of the clause | | [Item 26] |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 1.13 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.6 | |
| 27. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 16.1(a) | Unpaid meal breaks unhappy with wording changing from 'not less than 30 minutes and not more than 60 minutes' to 'between 30 and 60 minutes' as concerned it will not meet the requirements of the provision in the ED. Propose to revert wording back to original format. | Para 92 | Agreed, replace words 'between 30 and 60 minutes' with 'not less than 30 minutes and not more than 60 minutes'. Report 17/03/17 [Item 27] |
| | BusSA AWU | Reply-21Jul16 Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal Agrees with AIG that current wording should be retained. | Para 1.14 Para 22.7 | |
| 28. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 17.1 | Minimum wages Additional wording to clause to read, 'An employer must pay adult employees the following minimum wages for ordinary hours worked by the employee'. | Para 55 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per HSU proposal and to include the addition of '(full time |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 5.18 | employee)' after |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Seeks further amendments if HSU proposal is accepted, to reflect that the minimum weekly rate only applies to full-time employees. | Para 52 | 'minimum weekly rate' at clause 17.1, Report 17/03/17 [Item 28] |
| | AIG | Reply-05Jan17 | | The word "and" should be inserted in the draft report between "HSU proposal" and "to include the addition of" | | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | ACE | Reply-10Jan17 | | Supports corrections proposed by AIG in submission 5Jan17 | | |
| | HSU | Reply-12Jan17 | | Concerned the preamble is not in the ED, submits it should read "An employer must pay adult employees the following minimum wages for ordinary hours worked by the full time employee'. OR 'An employer must pay adult full time employees the following minimum wages for ordinary hours worked by the employee" | | |
| 29. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 17.4(d) | Adult apprentices Separating clause into two separate subclauses makes meaning of clause unclear. Believes it makes it appear 17.4(d) applies to adult apprentices. Proposes to amend the clause so it forms part of the preceding subclause. | Para 94 | RESOLVED Agreed, insert the words 'where clause 17.4(c) applies' at the start of clause 17.4(d) Report 17/03/17 [Item 29] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees that the disaggregation of current clause 17.4(c) has resulted in some ambiguity. However, appreciates the exposure draft improves on very long paragraph in current award. To resolve issue, suggests 'with that same employer' be added to clause 17.4(d). | Para 23 | |
| 30. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 17.5(f) | Attendance at block release training New heading inappropriate as clauses 17.5(f)(i) and 17.5(f)(ii) are not confined to block release training. Heading should be deleted and clauses reformatted to reflect current award clauses 14.5(g) – 14.5(i). | Para 97 | RESOLVED Agreed, delete words 'block release' from heading at clause 17.5 (f). No amendment to clause |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | BusSA AWU | Reply-21Jul16 Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal Agrees with AIG that heading is inaccurate, however there is some sense in referring to three subclauses as being about 'attendance' to remove heading would make it fall under heading of 17.5(f) heading which is also inaccurate. Suggest new heading be agreed by parties. | Para 1.15 Para 24 | 17 (i) and (ii). Clause 17.5 (f) (iii) to become clause 17.5 (g) Report 17/03/17 [Item 30] |
| 31. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 17.5(f)(ii) | Apprentice conditions of employment – attendance at block release training Cross reference to clause 2 should be to Schedule E | Para 18 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per HSU proposal, Report 17/03/17 |
| | AIG BusSA AWU | Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 Reply-21Jul16 | - | Reference to clause 2 should be replaced with reference to Schedule E Agrees with HSU and AIG Agrees with HSU and AIG | Para 99 Para 1.16 Para 22.8 | [Item 31] |
| 32. | HSU UV AWU AIG | Sub-30Jun16 Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 Reply-22Jul16 | 17.6 | Payment of wages 'No later than pay day' should be reinserted into the clause Agrees with UV and HSU Does not oppose UV and HSU's submission, as consistent with current award. | Para 19 Para 22 Para 5.6, 10.5 Para 58 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per HSU proposal, Report 17/03/17 [Item 32] |
| 33. | HSU ACE | Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 | 17.7 | Higher Duties Current clause 27.1 is clearer and more consistent. If ED version retained, could be improved – alternative wording provided. Does not support HSU's proposal. Submits current provisions to higher duties require no | Para 37 Para 11 | RESOLVED Agreed, replace clause 17.7 (a)-(b) with clause 27 from existing award Report 17/03/17 [Item 33] |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | change. Supports HSU's rewording of clause with the amendment of instead of referring to 'higher duties allowance' replace with 'higher wage rate'. Does not consider the entitlement to be an allowance. | Para 8 | |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Partially agrees. Proposes adding 'time so worked at the higher classification' to HSU proposed clause 17.7(b)(i). | Para 1.17, 1.35 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Agree current clause 27.1 is simpler and easier to understand. Disagree with HSU's proposed amendment: in particular concerned labelling it an 'allowance' and the preamble in subclause (b). Does not oppose substituting 17.7 with current clause 27.1. | Para 59 | |
| 34. | ACE | Sub-30Jun16 | 18.2(a) | Wage related allowances Only all purpose allowance in the ED is the leading hand allowance and most appropriate to describe an all purpose allowance within the leading hand allowance clause. Suggests amendments to text in clause | Para 8 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per item 3 Report 17/03/17 [Item 34] |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with ACE proposal | Para 1.18 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Do not oppose ACE proposal, notes format consistent with other exposure drafts. Does not believe variation is necessary. | Para 61 | |
| 35. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 18.2(b)(i) | Leading hand allowance Current clause excluded an employee 'whose classification denotes supervisory responsibility', while ED outlines an | Para 100 | RESOLVED AIG et al. are concerned the word 'denote' will |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | AWU HSU | Reply-21Jul16 Reply-27Jul16 | | employee 'whose classification does not include supervisory responsibility' for greater clarity replace 'include' with 'denote' Disagrees with AIG proposal, exposure draft better captures intention of clause. Does not agree with AIG proposal | Para 25 Para 11(a) | have the effect of expanding the entitlement to leading hand allowance, where the classification does not expressly refer to supervisory responsibilities. Parties agree that this is not the intention; however, more work is required to resolve concern. Agreed to replace the word 'include' with 'indicate' in the ED clause 18.2(b)(i) Report 17/03/17 |
| 36. | AIG | <u>Sub 30Jun16</u> | 18.2(b)(iv) | Leading hand allowance | Para 103 | [Item 35] WITHDRAWN |
| | | | | Current wording of clause be retained, which enables an averaging of hours worked to determine the quantum of the payable allowance. However current wording in ED does not allow this as it indicates allowance is payable on a pro rata basis. | | AIG proposal withdrawn Report 17/03/17 [Item 36] |
| | AWU | Reply 21Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG proposal, meaning of 'pro rata basis' is typical for payment of entitlements, making clause clearer than length and out dated wording at current award clause | Para 26 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | 15.3(d). | | |
| | HSU | Reply 27Jul16 | 1 | Does not agree with AIG proposal | Para 11(a) | |
| 37. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 18.2(c)(i) | Nauseous work allowance | Para 106 | WITHDRAWN |
| | | | | Change 'part hour' back to current award term 'part thereof'. This is consistent with Schedule C.2. of ED | | AIG proposal withdrawn, AIG now have the view that re-drafting is not a substantial change within the context of this |
| | BusSA | Reply 21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG | Para 1.19 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | - | Does not oppose AIG's submission. | Para 27 | particular award Report 17/03/17 [Item 37] |
| | HSU | Sub-27Jul16 | - | Does not agree with AIG's submission. | Para 11(a) | |
| | UV | Reply 25Jul16 | | UV does not agree with AIG's submission that 'part hour' changes entitlement. 'Part hour' is more easily understood, no change should be made to exposure draft. | Para 20 | |
| 38. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 18.2(c)(ii) | Nauseous work allowance Clause 15.5(a) requires 'in any week, where an employee performs work that entitles them to the allowance prescribed by that clause, a minimum sum of 0.27% of the standard rate must be paid' party believes this clause deviates from this entitlement. 18.2(c)(ii) to be replaced with the final sentence of 15.5(a) in current award. | Para 109 | RESOLVED Agreed, add the words 'for work performed in any week' at the end of clause 18.2(c) (ii) Report 17/03/17 [Item 38] |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Doubts AIG concern would eventuate, but is not opposed to working 'for work performed in any week' of current award to be retained. | Para 28 | |
| 39. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 18.3(a)(i) | Expense related allowances-clothing and equipment Current clause outlines an employer must supply uniforms to an employee and 'be appropriate to the occupation'. Redrafting shows the clause reads 'supply the employee with an adequate number of uniforms free of cost appropriate to the occupation'. Link between appropriate occupation and obligation to supply uniforms is no longer clear. 'Appropriate to occupation' to be inserted after 'number of uniforms' for clarity. | Para 112 | Agreed, as per UV proposal Report 17/03/17 [Item 39] |
| | UV BusSA AWU | <u>Reply-21Jul16</u> <u>Reply-21Jul16</u> | | Rewording awkward. Propose sentence read: 'supply the employee with an adequate number of uniforms appropriate to the occupation free of cost' Agrees with AIG and UV Agrees with AIG and UV | Para 23 Para 1.21 Para 10.6, 22.9 | |
| 40. | AWU | Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 | 18.3(a)(ii) | Clothing and equipment Text in clause, 'and must be provided to the employee and laundered free of charge' repeats text in 18.3(a)(i), propose deleting text. Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 115 Para 22.10 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per AIG proposal Report 17/03/17 [Item 40] |
| | 11110 | Керту-2134110 | | 11grees with 1110 proposal | 1 414 22.10 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| 41. | AWU | Sub 30Jun16 Reply 21Jul16 | 18.3(a)(iii) | Uniform allowance Replace 'part shift' with 'part thereof' as current wording does not allow for payment on pro rata basis Does not oppose AIG | Para 117 Para 29 | WITHDRAWN AIG proposal withdrawn, basis as per Item 37 Report 17/03/17 [Item 41] |
| | UV | Reply 25Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG interpretation, believes 'part shift' easier to understand. | Para 21 | |
| 42. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 18.3(a)(iv) | Laundry allowance Only payable where instead of providing uniforms, the employer pays the employee a uniform allowance. Laundry allowance not payable where uniforms are provided by the employer in accordance with 15.2(a). Terms of this current clause do not makes this clear therefore proposes following changes: 'where clause 18.3(a)(iii) applies and where such employee's uniform are the uniform is not laundered' Further proposes wording change from 'part shift' to 'part thereof', as current wording does not allow for payment on pro rata basis. | Para 118-120 | RESOLVED Agreed, clause 18.3(a)(iv) is amended to read as follows: "Where the uniform is not laundered by or at the expense of the employer under clause 18.3(a)(i), the employee will be paid the lesser of:" Report 17/03/17 [Item 42] AIG 'part shift' to 'part |
| | BusSA | Sub-21July16 | | Agrees with AIG submission | Para 1.22 | thereof' proposal |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG interpretation of current award. Exposure draft reflects existing clause. Purpose of allowance is for the provision and | Para 30-31 | withdrawn. AIG and employers to consider a possible variation to clause |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | maintenance of uniform 'free of cost' to the employees. Not opposed to AIG preference to 'part thereof'. | | 18.3(a) to resolve their concern in relation to the application of laundry |
| | ACE | Sub-21Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG submission, allowance is for all employees required to wear a uniform by their employer. | Para 3 | allowance. |
| | HSU | Sub-27Jul16 | | Does not agree with AIG proposal | Para 11(a) | |
| 43. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 18.3(d)(i) | Travelling, transport and fares 'Not less than' should be reinserted into clause before quantum | Para 20 | RESOLVED Agreed, per HSU proposal |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal | Para 5.7 | Report 17/03/17 [Item 43] |
| | ABI | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not agree with HSU proposal | Para 3.2 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Do not believe HSU variation necessary but do not oppose it. | Para 63 | |
| 44. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 18.3(d)(iii) | Clause has been changed from 'provide the employee will not be entitled to reimbursement forwhich exceed the mode of transport' to 'An employee is not entitled to reimbursement for expense if the expenses exceed the mode of transport'. HSU believes changed words might imply an employee would receive no reimbursement because 'an employee is not entitledif the expenses incurred exceedthat was agreed with the employer.' | Para 21 | RESOLVED HSU proposal agreed to subject to agreement with AIG proposal to substitute ED provision with clause 15.7(c) from the existing award, Report 17/03/17 [Item 44] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees exposure draft potentially disentitles employees from reimbursement all together. Current award wording should be retained. | Para 5.8 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not oppose HSU proposal if seeks to substitute provision with current clause 15.7(c). | Para 64 | |
| 45. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 20.3 | Weekend penalties Concerned under ED casual employee may be entitled to weekend penalties and shift premiums, therefore clause should include reference to cl 20.2. | Para 121 | Agreed, insert words 'and 20.2' after words 'with clause 20.1' in clause 20.3 Report 17/03/17 [Item 45] |
| | BusSA AWU | Reply-21Jul16 Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal Agrees with AIG proposal, notes clause should refer to exposure draft clauses 20.1 and 20.2, given current clause 23.1 comprises of both these clauses. | Para 1.23 Para 22.11 | |
| | UV | Reply-25Jul16 | | Unsure if any need to refer to 20.2. | Para 23 | |
| 46. | HSU | <u>Sub–30Jun16</u> | 21 | Shiftwork Language has been changed from 'commencing at xx and before xx' between specified hours eg. 'between 10:00am and 12:59pm'. Contends technically should be between 9:59 am and 1pm. However, variation unnecessary. Wording of current award should be retained. | Para 38 | RESOLVED Agreed, delete all of clause 21.2(a) – (b) from ED and substitute clause 26.1 in its entirety from existing award Report 17/03/17 [Item 46] |
| | UV | Sub-30Jun16 | | Believes altered wording in ED changes entitlement. Prefers wording under Award | Para 24-26 | |
| | ACE | Sub-30Jun16 | | Clause 21.2 should be replaced with current award clause 26.1. Redrafting unnecessary and confusing. The essential requirement that employee work less than 38 hours a week (in clause 21.2(b)) should appear before shift | Para 9 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|-----------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | work rates to ensure employees other than full time employees are paid correctly. Secondly, contends that the timeframes creates gaps eg. between 12.59 pm and 1:00 pm. | | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU, UV and ACE | Para 5.12, 10.8, 14.3 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not oppose ACE proposal, this would also address concerns raised by UV and HSU. | Para 66 | |
| 47. | AIG | General Submission— 31Aug16 | 21.2, 21.3, 20.2, 22.1, 23.3(b), 26.2(e), 26.3(c) | Shiftwork Submits award inconsistently refers to shift rates as 'shift allowances', 'shift premiums', 'shift penalties', 'any additional rate for shiftwork'. Contends terminology in each award should be consistent. | Para 9, 11 | Parties to await Group 3 Full Bench determinations to consider if they provide guidance on this issue Report 17/03/17 [Item 47] |
| 48. | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 22.1(b) | Overtime – Full-time employees Reference at 22.1(b) should be clause 21.2 | Para 42 | RESOLVED |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU | Para 1.24 | Agree, per HSU proposal |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU | Para 67 | Report 17/03/17 [Item 48] |
| 49. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 22.2(a) | Overtime – Part-time and casual employees Clause does not accurately define circumstances in which overtime rates are payable. It does not make it clear overtime rates only apply to work in excess of 38 hours per week or 76 hours per fortnight (cl.22.2(a)); or, in excess of 10 hours a day (cl.22.2(b)). Proposed rewording consistent | Para 124-129 | RESOLVED Agreed, insert the words 'all time worked by a' at the start of clause 22.2 (a) and 22.2(b). Clauses 22.2 (a) and 22.2(b) are to be amended by deleting the |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | with current award. Wording provided. | | word 'who works more |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG | Para 1.25 | than' and inserting 'in |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG's proposed redrafting to | Para 22.12 | excess of Report 17/03/17 |
| | | | | ensure payment of overtime only attaches to | | [Item 49] |
| | | | | hours worked in excess of ordinary hours. | | |
| | AIG | Reply-05Jan17 | | Clauses 22.2(a) and 22.2(b) are to be | | |
| | | | | amended by deleting the words "who works | | |
| | | | | more than" and inserting "in excess of" | | |
| | ACE | Reply-10Jan17 | | Supports corrections proposed by AIG in | | |
| | | | | submission <u>5Jan17</u> | | |
| | HSU | Reply-12Jan17 | | Agrees with AIG submission <u>5Jan17</u> | | |
| 50. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 22.4(a) | Rest period after overtime | | OUTSTANDING |
| | | | | 'Shift' reinserted into clause as its deletion | | |
| | | | | may give rise to confusion regarding clause | | Not resolved, parties to |
| | | | | operation for shiftworkers. Particularly | | consider further Report |
| | | | | relevant where the ordinary hours of one shift | | 17/03/17 [Item 50] |
| | | | | end on a day and ordinary hours of the next | | |
| | | | | day commence on the same calendar day. | | |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG | Para 1.26 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG | Para 22.13 | |
| | HSU | Reply-27Jul16 | | General submission agrees issues for | Para 10 | |
| | | | | discussion in clause 22.4(a), disputes solution | | |
| | | | | is to revert to original wording. | | |
| 51. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 22.4(a)(ii) | Rest period after overtime | Para 132 | OUTSTANDING |
| | | | | Current clause 25.1(d)(i) specifies employee | | |
| | | | | must be released after completion of overtime | | Not resolved, parties to |
| | | | | until they have had 10 consecutive hours off | | consider further Report |
| | | | | duty 'without loss of pay for rostered ordinary | | 17/03/17 [Item 51] |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | hours occurring during such absence'. The removal of 'without loss of pay' and 'rostered' hours, makes it no longer clear what rates employee is to be paid. Submits current wording be retained. Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 1.27 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees 'ordinary working time' be replaced with 'rostered ordinary hours' | Para 22.14 | |
| | HSU | Reply-27Jul16 | | General submission agrees issues for discussion in clause 22.4(a), disputes solution is to revert to original wording. | Para 10 | |
| 52. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 22.4(b)(ii) | Rest period after overtime Clause does not expressly provide for entitlement to be absent until the employees has had 10 consecutive hours off duty, reference to rostered ordinary hours is absent; rate at which the employee is to be paid is no longer clear. Due to these ambiguities terms in previous award should be retained. | Para 135 | OUTSTANDING Not resolved, parties to consider further Report 17/03/17 Item 52] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agree terms 'without loss of pay', 'rostered ordinary hours' and wording regarding 'absence' be retained from current award. | Para 22.15 | |
| | HSU | Sub-27Jul16 | | General submission agrees issues for discussion in clause 22.4(b), disputes solution is to revert to current wording. | Para 10 | |
| 53. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | 22.5(a) | Recall to work overtime 'So' to be reinserted at the last line, as current wording alluded to an employee not being paid a minimum of 4 hours where an | Para 138 | RESOLVED Clause 22.5(a) is amended by inserting the word 'so' |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | employee is recalled to work overtime after | | before the word 'recalled' |
| | | | | leaving the employer's premises. | | in the last line Report |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG's submission but does not oppose proposal | Para 32 | 17/03/17 [Item 53] |
| | UV | Reply-25Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG's submission, exposure draft does not created an entitlement to a minimum payment every time employee is recalled ouside circumstances in clause 22.5(a). | Para 23 | |
| | AIG | Reply-05Jan17 | | Clause 22.5(a) is to be amended by inserting the word "so" before the word "recalled" in the last line. | | |
| | ACE | Reply-10Jan17 | | Supports corrections proposed by AIG in submission <u>5Jan17</u> | | |
| | HSU | Reply-12Jan17 | | Agrees with AIG submission <u>5Jan17</u> | | |
| 54. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 22.6(d) | Meal breaks during overtime Replace this clause with wording in current award clause 25.1(f)(ii), as wording is not clear regarding when an employee would be entitled to a meal allowance as opposed to being provided with a meal. | Para 141 | RESOLVED Agreed, clause 22.6 of the ED is amended as follows: 22.6(a) delete the word 'meal' after '20 minute' |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG | Para 1.28 | and insert after 'break' the |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG to replace wording of 25.1(f)(ii) with 22.6(d). | Para 22.16 | words 'to have a meal'. 22.6(b) the word 'meal' is |
| | HSU | Sub-27Jul16 | | General submission agrees issues for discussion in clause 22.6(d), disputes solution is to revert to current wording. | Para 10 | deleted and the words 'to have a meal' are inserted. 22.6(d) is deleted and replaced essentially with the wording from the |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | current award clause 25.1 (e)(ii) to read 'The meals referred to in clause 22.6(a) and (b) will be provided to the employee free of charge. Where the facility is unable to provide such meals, a meal allowance as described in clause 18.3(b) will be paid to the employee concerned'. Report 17/03/17 [Item 54] |
| 55. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 23.2(a)(i) and 23.2(b) | Additional leave for certain shiftworkers Word 'and' has been removed from end of sentence of cl. 23.2(a)(i) and in cl. 23.2(b) between 'on a Saturday <i>and</i> /or a Sunday'. Not clear that there could be practical implication, but it should be reinstated. | Para 43-44 | RESOLVED Agreed as per HSU proposal Report 17/03/17 [Item 55] |
| | UV | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | Definition of shiftworker altered, notes 'and' has been deleted from the list of definitions. | Para 27-29 | |
| | ACE | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | | Amendments to 23.2(a) and 23.2(b) to include 'and'. The entitlement is that employee may be entitled to the additional week of leave if they satisfy either or both of the conditions. | Para 10-11 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU, UV and ACE. | Paras 5.13, 10.7, 14.4 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not oppose ACE and HSU submission to insert 'and/' before 'or' | Para 69 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU and ACE | Para 1.30 | |
| 56. | HSU | Sub-30Jun16 | 23.2(a)(ii) | Additional leave for certain shiftworkers | Para 48-51 | RESOLVED |
| | | | | Response to question raised by Commission | | |
| | | | | No additional clarification necessary. Annual | | Agreed, amend clause |
| | | | | leave is entitlement accrues progressively. | | 23.2(a)(ii) of the ED by |
| | | | | Entitlement activated if employee is regularly | | inserting the words 'during the yearly period in respect |
| | | | | rostered to work their ordinary hours outside the hours of a day worker <i>and/or</i> if they work | | of which their annual leave |
| | | | | four or more ordinary hours on 10 or more | | accrues' after the words |
| | | | | weekend. | | '10 or more weekends' |
| | UV | Sub-30Jun16 | - | 10 weekends should be counted in the 12 | Para 28-29 | Report 17/03/17 [Item 56] |
| | | <u>Suo Sovunto</u> | | month period over the leave is accrued. | 1 uru 20 2) | 1// 00/1/ [100H 00] |
| | | | | Clause is same as Social, Community, Home | | |
| | | | | Care and Disability Services Industry Award | | |
| | | | | 2010, consistency should be maintained | | |
| | | | | between the awards. | | |
| | ABI | Sub-1Jul16 |] | 12 months is intended period | Para 4.3 | |
| | ACE | Sub-30Jun16 | | 10 or more weekends must occur in each year | Para 13 | |
| | | | | of service with their employer. To eliminate | | |
| | | | | ambiguity proposes to redraft clause with | | |
| | | | | reference to '10 or more weekends in each | | |
| | | | - | year of service with their employer'. | | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees 12 months is intended period. Notes | Para 9, 18, 21 | |
| | | | | HSU does not see amendment necessary. | | |
| | | | | AWU does not have strong preference, but | | |
| | | | | can see merit of including time period of 12 | | |
| | | | | months as way of example. Not opposed to | | |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | - | wording provided by ACE to reflect this. Agrees with ABI | Para 1.29 | - |
| | DUSSA | Kepty-21Jui10 | | Agrees with ADI | Faia 1.29 | |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | ACE | Reply-21Jul16 | | Disagrees with ABI submission, period should be counted is 'each year of service' not simply '12 months'. | Para 4 | - |
| | HSU | Reply-27Jul16 | | If Commission accepts clarification is required, HSU agrees with UV, that 10 weekends are counted during the 12 month period over which the leave is accrued. Disagrees with ACE's proposal. | Paras 11(c), 12 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | 1 | Matter should be referred to conference | Para 70 | 7 |
| 57 | HSU | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 26.2(e) | Public Holidays Cross referencing shift and weekend rates should be included | Para 45 | WITHDRAWN HSU proposal withdrawn, Report 17/03/17 [Item 57] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with HSU proposal to cross-reference to schedules setting out shift and weekend rates. | Para 5.14 | |
| | AIG | Reply-22Jul16 | | Does not consider cross references necessary | Para 71 | - |
| 58. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | 26.3 | Public holidays-casual employees Current clause wording outlines a casual employee is to be paid 'at a total rate of 250%', ED erases the reference to 'the total rate'. Could cause disputes about whether a casual employee is also entitled to another amount under the award for work on a public holiday. Current award wording should be | Para 144-147 | WITHDRAWN AIG proposal withdrawn, Report 17/03/17 [Item 58] |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | retained. Also, 'addition' should be replaced with 'additional'. | | |
| | BusSA | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 1.31 | |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Disagrees with AIG's submission. Use of 'ordinary hourly rate' is appropriate given all-purpose allowance in award. Clauses 26.3(b) and (c) make clear public holiday rate is instead of casual loading, or any additional shift of weekend work. Use of 'total rate' is repetitive and out dated. | Para 33 | |
| 59. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | Schedule B | Summary of hourly rates of pay Replace '% of ordinary hourly rate' with '% of minimum hourly rate' as concerned structure of tables may mislead readers, alternatively provide indication in body of each table that different rates may be applicable where employee is entitled to an allowance under cl.18.2(b). Submission has been made in relation to a number of awards. | Para 148-152 | RESOLVED Schedule B of the ED contains a number of tables: B.2.1; B.2.2; B.2.3; B.3.1 and B.3.2. Each of these tables contain a subheading which reads: '% of ordinary hourly |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal second row of table should read '% of minimum hourly rate' | Para 34 | rate'. Agreed, each subheading be amended by |
| | HSU | Sub-27Jul16 | | Does not agree with underpinning premise of AIG's submission. | Para 11(b) | subheading be amended by replacing the word 'ordinary' with the word 'minimum' to read '% of minimum hourly rate'. |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-----------------|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| 60. | AIG BusSA AWU | Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 Reply-21Jul16 | Schedule B.1.1 | Ordinary hourly rate Definition of 'ordinary hourly rate' inconsistent with one found in cl.2. Definition in cl.2, which reflect Commission July 2015 decision, should be adopted in B.1.1. Agrees with AIG proposal Agrees with AIG definition should be consistent with clause 2. See discussion at cl.2 for prefer definition. | Para 1.32 Para 22.18 | RESOLVED No amendment to cl.B.1.1 made, clause consistent with other EDs containing all purpose allowances Agreed, as part of [Item 6] Report 17/03/17 [Item 60] |
| 61. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 | Schedule B.2.1 | Full time and part time employee— ordinary and penalty rates Rate of pay for Sunday is incorrect, should read 175% instead of 200% to remain consistent with clause 23.1, Schedule B.2.1 Agrees with AIG | Para 154 Para 22.19 | RESOLVED Agreed Report 17/03/17 [Item 61] |
| 62. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 Reply-21Jul16 | Schedule B.2.2 (now B.2.3) | Full time and part time shiftworkers— ordinary and penalty rates Descriptors of afternoon and night shifts inconsistent with clauses 21.2(a)(i)— 21.2(a)(iv) of the ED, believes it should be consistent Agrees with AIG | Para 155 | RESOLVED See item 46 Resolved by agreement in relation to item 46, see Transcript – 6/12/16 |
| | | | | | | [PN582], <u>Transcript –</u> <u>16/12/16</u> <u>Draft Report – 19/01/17</u> Resolved, agreed to |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | replace shift work clause with existing award clause, titles will now match Report 17/03/17 [Item 62] |
| 63. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | B.3.1 | Casual employees other than shiftworkers—ordinary and penalty rates Rate of pay for Sunday is incorrect, should read 175% instead of 200% to remain consistent with clause 23.1, Schedule B.3.1. | Para 156 | RESOLVED Agreed Report 17/03/17 [Item 63] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG's submission | Para 22.19 | |
| 64. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | B.3.2 | Casual shiftworkers—ordinary and penalty rates Descriptors of afternoon and night shifts inconsistent with cl. 21.2(a)(i) –21.2(a)(iv) of the ED, believes it should be consistent | Para 157 | RESOLVED Basis as per [Item 62] Report 17/03/17 [Item 64] |
| 65. | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 Sub-30Jun16 | B.4.1, B.4.4, B.4.7, B.5.1 | Agrees with AIG's submission Apprentice rates Rates for ordinary hours of work on Saturday, Sunday or public holiday should be included in tables and tables headed 'ordinary and penalty rates' rather than under 'shiftwork rates' to be consistent with B.2.1 and B.3.1 | Para 22.20 Para 159, 161, 163, 167 | RESOLVED Agreed, Report 17/03/17 [Item 65] |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG's submission | Para 22.22 | |
| 66. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | B.4.2, B.4.5 B.4.8, B.5.2 | Apprentice rates Description about afternoon and night shift premiums should be included to be consistent with B.2.2. and B.3.2. | Para 158, 160, 162, 167 | RESOLVED Agreed, Report 17/03/17 [Item 66] |

| ITEM | PARTY | DOCUMENT | CLAUSE (exposure draft) | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | THEIR REFERENCE (para or pg) | NOTES |
|------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.21 | |
| 67. | AIG | <u>Sub-30Jun16</u> | B.5 | Apprentice rates Clause 17.4 not reflected accurately, B.4. only reflects 17.4(b), believes should also reflect 17.4(a) and 17.4(c) | Para 164 | RESOLVED Agreed, as per AIG submissions, Report |
| | AWU | Reply-21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG's submission | Para 22.23 | 17/03/17 [Item 67] |
| 68. | AIG | Sub-30Jun16 | B.5.1-B.5.3 | Cooking and gardening adult apprentices Rates appear to have been calculated based on 80% of level 4 rate in accordance with clause 17.4(a)(i), which is only relevant to apprentices who commenced after 1 Jan 14, i.e. first year apprenticeship. Schedule does not explain how these rates have been derived or who they apply to. | Para 165 | WITHDRAWN AIG proposal withdrawn, Report 17/03/17 [Item 68] |
| | AWU | Reply 21Jul16 | | Agrees with AIG proposal | Para 22.24 | |
| 69. | ACE | Sub-30Jun16 | F.3.3 | Coverage Response to question raised by Commission Party unaware of such training programs | Para 14 | RESOLVED Response to question raised by the Commission, Report 17/03/17 [Item 69] |

List of abbreviations (in alphabetical order)

ACE Aged Care Employers

ABI and NSWBC Australian Business Industrial and the NSW Business Chamber

AIG Australian Industry Group AWU The Australian Workers' Union

BusSA Business SA – Chamber of Commerce and Industry South Australia

ED Exposure draft

HSU Health Services Union

UV United Voice